G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1916.

(Inwards)

File No. 88-16.

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—5.

Part B for Dec. 17.

SUBJECT.

Archakship of Sri Anjaneya Swamy Temple at Sulbagal

To Dy. Comms. Kolar. No. 1291 Dt. 6.12.17.

Forwards him a Copy of the Go. directing that Re appeal is dismissed as Govt see no reason to interfere with the D.C's Order,

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24·7

D.R. Appadurai
Br. Cl. D. 1091/18

G. R. S. 11] 1916.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Kurnool

NOTES

File No. 88/1916

FILE HEADING.

Archakship of Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple at Nulbapad.

From

Lakshamma wife of Archak Shamadoss.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 20-7-1916 Received

Diary No.	Enclos. 2	Spare Copies. —	Serial No.
199			

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Dy. Commrs. order directing that the Archak hisse haramard of the said temple in the name of Srinivasachar (since deceased) be made out in the name of Srinivasachar's minor grand-son Gopalachar.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The appeal petition is duly stamped & is within time - A draft calling for report with records is submitted.

M (Illegible) P. J. D.
18-8-1916.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,000-8-8-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 88 of 1916.

Serial No.

Srinivasachar is the elder brother of Shamadoss. Both of them are dead. Srinivasachar has left no male issues. Shamadoss has left 2 sons & a widow.

The draft calling for a report with records may be approved.

89
18/8

affd
Lr
21-8-16

2 No 615 Dt. 29.8.16, D. Dy Collr of Kolar, for the above for Disposal.

Gms

No 616 Dt 29.8.16, To the Petnr- informing the above to him.

3. From, the Dy Commr, Kolar Dt. 7-9-17
Letter no. 508 Dt 14.6.1917.

Submits report with records called for in Serial 2.

79 E

The Mulbagal Amildar's report no. 50 Dt 7.4.1917 and the Dy. Comrs letter under disposal explain the facts of the case.

This case relates to the Archak Hisse baramahal of Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple at Mulbagal. The last baramaharddar Srinivasachar died on 23-11-1914. During his life time, he has petitioned the local

7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 191

Serial No.

authorities praying that his Hee Highness bisse bandaward be made out in the name of his daughter's Son Sopalachar, and the Dy. Commr after due enquiry, has passed orders accordingly.

D

The appellant Kal Shamma contends that her husband Sham Dos' grand-father Varadappa and the deceased Archak Srinivasachar's grand-father Krishnappa were sons of a common ancestor Ramappa, and claims the Archak hisse for her minor sons in preference to the late Archak's Dowhitra Sopalachar.

This case will be posted to the 14th July next.

Notices are herewith submitted.

W (SH) 27.6.17

(This is a case between a female Gnati with 2 minor sons and a Dhowhitra)

Ann 27.6.17

Secy)

Subd. 27/6/17

Prov. for hearing 28/6/17

Submd. with notices to the 14th July 1917

W (SH) 29.6.17

ann 29.6.17

appd. 29/6/17

NOTE—Contn. sh

APH—700 25-4-17-12-17

W D 1233—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 88 of 1916.

Serial No.

The Competition is between a daughter's son and a distant gnati and the Dr Court has rightly preferred the former

LN. member.

lca
6.7.17

Mr C. V. Varadarajaiengar was present for the respts. The apltt was absent and her brother appeared for her & prayed for an adjt. case is adjd - Date will be intimated later on.

17.7.1917.

Ms

Parties present as also Counsel Messrs M G Karadachar and C. V. Varadarajaiengar. Arguments heard Orders reserved. B.O.

Ms.
21.8.17

Order

It is clear from the Munsiff's report that there is room, on record & from what the appellt's husband did even perform the 'Kriya' & there may be the question of the Respts & those others regarded benavendars, are drawn for enquiry thereof -

1869
29/11.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1283—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191 .

Serial No.

The appellant was present in person & the respondent's claim was represented by Mr. Advocate [illegible] on behalf of the Govt. of Bombay.

The appellant's claim is for a declaration that he is entitled to succeed to the office of Kulkarni of Kolar, Taluka Indi, on the death of his father Ramchandra Govind Kulkarni, who died on 19th March 1917. The Collector of Bijapur, however, by his order dated 20th April 1917, has granted the office to the respondent.

The appellant's claim is based on the ground that he is the eldest son of his father and that he is entitled to succeed to the office in accordance with the rule of primogeniture. The respondent, on the other hand, contends that the appellant is not entitled to succeed to the office as he is disqualified by reason of his physical infirmity.

19/11/17

20/11/17

19.11.17

LR.

Submitted with draft for approval

W (S) 27-11-17

28/11/17 Appd

30.11.17

30/11/17

4 No: 1291 of 4.12.17, to the Collector of Kolaba, forwarding a copy of the G.O. directing that the appeal is dismissed as the Govt. see no reason to interfere with the Collector's order.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60 000—1-12-16—

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 88 of 191 6

Serial No. 5

No: 1307 dy 7.12.17, 2 pets
Lakshmanna Informs him
y Ctn arrs





Exhibit No. 199

88-16

1

2 Re Claim
1 Issue
Amm
25/7/16

అర్జీ ఆర్థిక కార్యం

అర్థిక దారులు
తమ లాయర్ ద్వారా పెట్టుకున్న
బాగాల్ చేసిన వ్రాతపూర్వక
ఉప్పాడ మేజర్ పంచాయితీ
శాఖ దారుల వద్దకు వెళ్లి

ఎదురు అర్థిక దారులు
ఉదయం 10 గంటలకు సాయంత్రం
అర్థిక తర్వాత సాయంత్రం
కార్యకర్తలు కళాకుగా ఇవ్వాలి
వద్దకు తమ లాయర్ ద్వారా
రాసి ఉన్న కాగితాలు

అర్థిక దారులు తమ లాయర్ ద్వారా డిపిటేషన్
వ్రాసుకొని ఉన్న 29-4-16 వ తేదీ 45 రోజుల అర్థిక మోసపోయిన
అర్జీ లాంటి —

ఉప్పాడ బాగాల్ అంచనా ఉప్పాడ మేజర్ పంచాయితీ
కార్యాలయ పని వేళలు ఉదయం 10 గంటల నుండి సాయంత్రం 5 గంటల వరకు
ఈ అర్థిక దారుల వద్ద నుండి 3 రోజులు తీసుకోవాలి
4 వ రోజు - ఉదయం 10 గంటలకు - ఉప్పాడ
తాసిల్దార్ 4 వ రోజు అర్థిక దారులతో సమావేశం అవుతారు

కార్యక్రమం ముగిసిన వెంటనే ఉప్పాడ మేజర్ పంచాయితీ
వద్ద ఉన్న కార్యకర్తలు అందరూ ఉప్పాడ మేజర్ పంచాయితీ
కార్యాలయం వద్ద ఉన్న అర్థిక దారులు అందరూ
ఎలా అనుసరించాలి —

దారళశండ శ్నేచదండరు సత్యశ్రీనివాసాచార్యులు
చిన్నై శిశళు ఆద్యుండయు వరిబ్బు శడ్డ్యనండు పిద్యోయుంశిలుళ్ళ
దులుంట్టే శ్రీ. శ్నేచదండరు శ్రవశ్రి శత్యుశ్రీ శరశ అభిన్ దాంశ్ళశే
దల్లాబేశాంర లుంబాంశవంగు అభిన్ దాంశ్ళలుంటాండరు పుత్యము
గశు శాంతరిసుంటి శ్రీశిదు శిత్తి బుండరు లువరశి దేవ్యులు బుండదట్టి
శ్రీనివాసాచార్యుల శేదరిశ్లో లుత్యు —

శ్రీ చి-సే శం 23-11-1914 శ్లో పదరిశ్రీనివాసాచార్యులు
మ్మ శశత్యుశు- లువరిశిశండు దంశత్రి లుల్లా ఇదరమలవో
ళి వంశస్థుల్లేదల్లో తండరి శ్నేచ అభిన్ దాంశ్ళశండశిశ్రీనివాసా
చార్యు అంటాంశబిత్యు లుంశ్రశిదేవరిశబుండదట్టి చిన్నిశిన
శిందద అభిన్ దాంశ్ళశ్నే శర్తులు, శిత్రిస్థుశ్నే- ఇశిందను
శ్నే- లువరిబ్బుర్యక్కే శ్రీ. లుంశ్రశిదేవరింగారరు ఆసి- దత్యు
ఆరిశ్ని లుబిందద బుండ అభిన్ దాంశ్ళశంశదల్లా యిండ్ని
రబిత్యు -

లుంశాంద్యుశల్లా శిడ్డిస్థశ్నే శ్రీనివాసాచార్యులు అభిన్
దాంశ్ళశాభిప్రాంశణశ్నే లుల్లా దీశవశిశ్రీనివాసాచార్యుల శేదరిశబ
రుంటదట్టంశు 2 శిశంశ్థుల్లి శీశిదు ఆశిశ్రశులుశళ్ళశులుశాంద ఎద
రు అభిన్ దాంశ్ళశశేదరిశీశబుంబుదట్టి సుంతిదత్యుంగుల్లా
వంశస్థుశ్నే లుల్లా దశీశిశ్నే సుంతిదాబ్బు శే —

ఎదరు అభిన్ థదాంశ్ళు శేవలబాల్యశవు విద్యశ
శ్రీనివాసు స్థశ: శాంతరిసుంతశిశవల్లా దవశ్రీనివాసు లుత్యు
లుంశత్రిగే వంశసంరంభశ్రీనివాసు బుండిరువదత్రిగే శీశిద
వశల్లా దవశాంబులు లుబాబ్బు శే — —

అభిన్ దాంశ్ళశీశే లుబ్బురు శంతలుమత్రిళిదాబ్బే
ఆశ్రిరిందవస్థశ: అభిన్ శాస్త్రశ్రిసుంతలు లాంబు: బంగిన్ని
దబ్బు శే — శ్రీనివాసాచిబిత్తురో వుత్యు ఆశేంబు మత్రిళశు బిచేవశ్థే
బింశిశవాసి సుంశద్రిల్లా -

బుంటదట్టి అభిన్ దాంశ్ళవశు శంబు సుత్తి
రిగే సుంత్రి భాంశవ్యుంద శ్రీనివాసాచార్యులు

పేంతర్తి రామమత్తి వంశస్థులుంగిలు రాజవరిపాలుంచేరవుం
ఆకే-ము శరభ్రుమంస్థేయుంగ్లు నీలమత్తవుంతి కొల్థం
రాజులు శేగదు తొల్థంతు చోళరాజుంతి కొల్థళ్ళబ
డుదుం-

ఆప్పిత-మంత్రి సాంకేలురవరు ఆర్డర్ పేంగళ్తిన
శ్థళదవరు లుచ్ఛిదారళ్ళనేకళ్ళనలేలులుం-త్థ-చి-శే
అంచరేభూర్ అంత్రే బాంగరప్ప పరన్పరళిళ్ళు వ్రిందవ
ఆత్మోత్తి ఆర్డర్ తాంప్రేదరబాంగ్ము మంతలాంలుల్ల-శ్థ
2-7-16రప్ప తాంప్రేసాంవత్సరియుం సిరువ అప్రీ అశీ అమిచీత్థ్
తళియుల్లుత్థేంబుదేంగళ్తి అనుచరితాండ్రిందకేద్వ్రేంగు
త్రీలుదత్థ సంబుంద్రప్పేసిందిరితాండర్ంగు స్థామిలు
వరు వరాంబురిశీదప్ప చిత్ర త్థేకేద్వ్రేంగుత్థే

వంశసారంపిర్థేందదత్థ దాంతిసాంత్థదివుగ
ప్ప అన్నవంశస్థ్రురిసేబురాంవదుద్ వుంతిశులువర్తిప్ప
తమోంషన్ సాంకేలురవర ఆర్డర్ంగు తాప్రేద్ పలాన్ శ-
రత్థదారరాంశిలు ఆరిత్థలు దిందలు స్థాబ్రీకారలు
బ్రవర్తలవరాంశియు మత్థ త్థళాంపాంభాంత్రిశేన మింత
సారస్వదారరాంశియు లురువ అభ్రిదారళ్ళవత్థళ్ళకే
సరిశేబురాంబుదుద్ వుంతినబేలాంశిస్థామి యువరం
రగరేబుళారరాంగ్లుపాత్రిసుత్థ్రేకేవుదుస్థామిం—





శాంపుదాపరకేంత్రిలుత్థేం వ్రాసేబ్బేప్పిరగుంట్రరులు తగేళ
రశీంపత్థ్ర చాంత్రేశలుపరం- తే 20-7-1916



శేషప్పనాయకుని మనుమలు -

1 రామప్ప మనుమలు నరసింహప్ప లక్ష్మప్ప ఎదరు అభియోగదారిలు

2 చంద్రంపల్లి -

లక్ష్మప్ప రామప్ప

ఈ పంచాయితీ ముద్దాయిలు రామప్ప వరదప్ప లక్ష్మప్ప
రాసిచ్చిన ఇష్టపత్రం ప్రకారం 20-7-16

2425

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 615 Ex 3 Dy 29-8-1916.
Jms 88-16-2

The accompanying Petition Registered

No 199 dated 20.7.1916

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Lakshamma wife of Archak Shamdoss appealing against the Dy. Comm'rs. order directing that the Archak-hisse' varamaad of Mulbagal Anjaneya Swami in the name of Srinivasachar (since deceased) be made out in the name of Srinivasachar's minor grand-son Gopalachar,

is forwarded, together with its 2 enclosures,

to the Dy. Comm'r Kolar Distt

for a ~~detailed~~ report with records.

By Order,

22-8-16

for Secretary.

BANGALORE,
89 1818
191 .

P. T. O.

V D 882—GPB—1,000—10 11-15

No 616 Di 29.8.1916.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary



Hr. 21-8-17

Before Government of Mysore ..
ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

Hiriyur App. 1916–1917ನೇ ಇಸವಿ 24 ನಂಬರು...

ಅಫೀಲಿ. ವಾದಿ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

Lakshamma —— Gopalachari.

ಮಃ ರಾ॥ ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್, ಸಿ. ಎಸ್. ದೊರಸಾಮಿ ಅಯ್ಯರ್ ಬಿ. ಎ., ಬಿ. ಎಲ್.,
" " ಎಂ. ಜಿ. ವರದಾಚಾರ್, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಬಿ. ಎಲ್.. ರವರಿಗೆ.

(ಅ ೦) ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈನಲ್ ಜೊಂವತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಮಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗ್ಯೆರೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡದುಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ಪಾಪುಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಪ್ರೊಸಸ್ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಸಹಾ ಪಡದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತ್ಯೆವಜು ವಗ್ಯೆರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ, ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲೂ ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು. }. ರುಜು.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇವಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

21-8-17 Advocate

Advocate for Appellant.

COURT FEE MYSORE ೨ ರೂ TWO RUPEES ೨-ರೂ

Received for Writ Naik Sinha Vinayak.

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

191 , —1 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರು.

ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

Vs.

ರವರಿಗೆ.

ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ, ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕ್ಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀತಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು, ಪ್ರೊಸೆಸ್ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕ್ಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟದಸ್ತ್ರೆಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಸಹ ಈಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇರ್ಥೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು

ರುಜು.

ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವರೆಂದು ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿರ್ಥೇನೆ

ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದೇನೆ.

National Press, Bangalore.

BR VII
18-8-17

DIARY No. 2016

1916-19 17
SECTION Jud R
FILE No. 88-16
SERIAL No. 3

D. 0244—GPB—6,00,000—19-5-16—S.

191
17 JUN 1917

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To The Joint Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore, Muzrai Dept.
Bangalore.

Dated 14th June 1917.

Despatched

Received

General No. Ref. H C 508
No.

Enclosure No. En. 1 file

Submitting report with records regarding the petition of Lakshamma wife of Archak Shamadas concerning the archak kisse banavu of the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Kumbargal

61

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kolar District,
Dated Kolar, the 14th June 1917.

From

P. F. BOWRING, Esquire., J.P.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

G. ARAVAMUDU IYENGAR, Esquire., B.A.,B.L.,
Joint Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No.615/Muz.88-16-2 dated 29th August 1916, forwarding for report with records the petition of Lakshamma, wife of Archak Shamadas, appealing against my order directing the registry of the Archak Hisse Baravard of the Sri Anjeneyaswami Temple at Mulbagal in the name of Gopala Char, the daughter's son of the last baravard-dar, Srinivasa Char, I have the honor to submit herein enclosed the file of vernacular papers received with report No.50 dated 7th April 1917, from the Amildar of Mulbagal Taluk and to state that as shown in the family tree presented with the petition by the appellant, the last baravard-dar and her husband are -- great-grand sons of a common ancestor.

2. The petitioner's allegation in para 4 of the application -- that Gopala Char whose name was ordered to be registered by me, is not connected with the family, is not borne out by the family tree presented by her. In the genealogy the name of Gopala Char finds a place as the daughter's son of the last male holder. The Amildar reports that Gopala Char is capable of discharging the archak duties.

3. The genealogy bears out that she and her two minor sons are in no way nearer to the last male holder of Office than Gopala Char, the

grandson by daughter of Seenappa (Srinivasachar).

4. The petitioner states that she had no knowledge of the proceedings; nevertheless her statement before the Shekdar in connection with Srinivasa Char's petition for registry of -- Office in the name of Gopala Char, on 6th October 1916, is found in the records.

5. Srinivasa Char during his life time petitioned the Amildar and me to transfer the registry to his daughter's son Gopala Char and it was on his initiation that final orders were passed after due enquiry in favor of the respondent.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

C.R.S.

COURT FEE EARLY COURT FEE

ಆಣೆ EIGHT ANNAS ೭T-೧

ಆಣೆ EIGHT ANNAS ೭T-೧

1110/13.9

13/7/17

5/16

In the Hon'ble ... Court
... Bangalore
Suit No. 88-16
Plaintiff
Defendant
Date 14/9/17

11-9-1917





FAC/

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Case no. 24 of [illegible]

Muz 7 no 88-16 relating to Archakship of Sri Anjaneya Swami temple at Mulbagal.

The case was heard by the first members on 21st August 1917 and is reserved for orders.

Lakshamma wife of Archak Sham Doss (appellant in the case) has put in an application to the first members complaining that her husband had half-a-share in the Archak vatanam in the name of the late Srinivasachar, and that the Lower Courts have passed the order appealed against without any opportunity to her to make her r

1449 8/10

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

W D 84—GPB—60,000—20 7 16

and praying that the
case may be remanded for
further enquiry etc.

The petition which is addressed
to the 1st members, may be
kept in the pending file.

Submitted
28/9/17

M (Ag)
27.9.1917 1) Keep in the file
Caller ---.

2) Mr Vasudevan
who appeared in the case,
I think dwelt on these two
points in his arguments.

28/9/17

Ro 1/10/17

W D 2584—GPB—2,000—7-4-16

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

APPEAL No. 24 *OF* 1916-17.

APPELLANT. *vs.* RESPONDENT.

Lakshamma wife of the late Sham Doss. Gopalachar, minor grandson of the late Archaka Srinivasachar.

SUBJECT.

Appeal against order No.450 dated 29th April 1916 of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, directing that the Archak hisse baraward of Sri Anjaneya Swami temple at Mulbagal Swami temple at Mulbagal in the name of Srinivasachar (since deceased) be made out in the name of Gopalachar (respondent before Govt.)

D e c i s i o n.

The case was heard on 21st August 1917. Both the appellant and the respondent were present in person, and the former was represented by his advocate Mr. M. G. Varadachar, and the latter, by Mr. C. V. Narasimhaiyengar.

It is clear from the Amildar's report (No. 50 dated 7th April 1917) that there is noting on record to prove that the appellant's husband did ever perform the "Puja" by turns along with Srinivachar the grand-father of the respondent and three other registered barawardars, now drew the emoluments thereof. The appellant might have offered to produce evidence in proof of her husband's claim when she gave her statement before the Shekdar on 6th October 1915, now did she do so later when the enquiry was conducted by the Deputy Commissioner.

The respondent is certainly a nearer heir to the deceased barawarddar Srinivasachar than the sons of the appellant and the appointment has been made in accordance with his wishes too. There are no good grounds to interfere with the Deputy Commissioner's order. The appeal is dismissed.

- - - -

Memo No.

Dated, Bangalore, the December 1917.

Copy is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, with the records received with his letter No.508 dated 14th June 1917.

By order,

Joint Secretary to Government.

MRN.

W D 2584—GPB—2,000—7 1 16

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

APPEAL No. B' *OF* 1916-17.

APPELLANT.

Lakshamma wife of the late
ham deau.

RESPONDENT.

[illegible] the [illegible]
[illegible]

SUBJECT.

Appeal against order no.450 dated [illegible] April 1916 of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, [illegible] hisse barrara of Kalbhyrava Mallenahalli [illegible] tem le at Kolbigal in the name of Alamu [illegible] be made out in the name of Lakshamma (the [illegible])

Decision.

The case was heard on 21st August 1917. Both the appellant and the respondent were present in person, but the former was represented by his advocate Mr. [illegible]. Varadachar, and the latter by Mr. C. V. Narasimhayengar.

It is clear from the evidence (No. 60 dated 7th April 1917) that there is nothing on record to prove that the appellant's husband did ever perform the "Puja" by turns along with Gundrachar the grand-father of the respondent and three other registered baramaddars, now from the evidence thereof. The appellant might have offered to produce evidence in proof of her husband's claim when she gave her statement before the Collector on 6th October 1915, now did she do so later when the enquiry was conducted by the Deputy Commissioner.

The respondent is certainly a nearer heir to the deceased haramardder [illegible] than the sons of the appellant and the appointment has been made in accordance with his wishes too. There are no good grounds to interfere with the Deputy Commissioner's order. The appeal is dismissed.

- - - -

Memo No.

Dated, Bangalore, the December 1917.

Copy is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, with the records received with his letter No.508 dated 14th June 1917.

By order,

Joint Secretary to Government.

MRR.

5
1/12

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 24 of 1916-17

Appellant. *Vs.* Respondent.

Lakshamma wife of the late Sham Doss. Sopalachar, son & grandson of the late Archak Srinivasachar.

Subject.

Appeal against order no. 450 Dy 29th April 1916 of the Dy. Commr, Kolar Dist, directing that the hisse baraward of Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple at Mulbagal in the name of Srinivasachar (since deceased) be made out in the name of Sopalachar (respt. before Sub

Decision

The case was heard on 21st August 1917.

GPE—500—12-9-13

Both the Appellant and the
sptts were present in person,
and the former was represented
by his Advocate Mr M. Q.
Varadachar, and the latter,
by Mr C. V. Narasimhaiengar.

4 It is clear from the
Amildar's report (no. 50 of
7th April 1917) that there
is nothing on record to prove
that the appellants' husband
did ever perform the
"Puja" by turns along
with Srinivasachar the
Grand-father of the respts
and three other registered
baramaredars, nor drew
the emoluments thereof.
The appellant might have
offered to produce evidence
in proof of her husbands'
claim when she gave
Statement before the
Rdar on 6th Octr 1915

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

nor did she do so later when the enquiry was conducted by the Dy. Commr. The respt. is certainly a nearer heir to the deceased Varawardan Srinivasachar than the sons of the apltt. and the appointment has been made in accordance with his wishes too. There are no good grounds ~~for~~ to interfere with the Dy. Commrs. order. The appeal is dismissed.

1291 Ex V file

Memo no.

Mer 88-16-4

Copy is forwarded to the Dy. Commr., Kolar Dist., with the records received with his letter no. 508 dy 14.6.17.

B.O.

20.11.17

Joint Secy,
Govt. Mer. - Depts
11/11

DR)

GPB—20,000—16-2-14

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

1308 ఫ 7.17 7

ఆ) పై కార్యాలన్ని అమలు జరిపి తిరిగి
పంపి వేసి వివరాలు తెలియ చేయవలసిందిగా
తిరుపతి (Thiru Mr. C. V. Narasimhaiengar, Advocate).

B. O.

DW)

Joint Secretary,
Mines Dept.
28/11/17

GPB—20,000—16-2-14

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Sahib—

With reference to order on the Mulbagal Anjuman Archak Nisse Saraband Case it is respectfully pointed out that there is nothing on record to show that the Dy Com[r] conducted any enquiry after submission of the Asst[t] report (5/12/15) before orders passed by him on 29/4/16. As such, the words "Nor did he do so later when the enquiry was conducted by the Dy Com[r]" may have to be modified. — The enquiry referred to in the last para of the Dy Com[r]'s letter probably refers enquiry held by the Asst[t] through his subordinate.

Dy.
25/4/17

Secy)

Secy's orders: Dy Com[r]'s enquiry may be through his subordinates. Look up rules.

P.T.O)

25/4

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W D 81—GPB—60,000—20 7 18

Rule 61 of the Land Reforms Rules provides for an enquiry conducted by two Assistants. The procedure is that Asstts should take evidence and submit the same to the Collector with a Memorandum, on which the Collector should record his decision in his own handwriting. — Subs

28/11/19.

The matter is quite all too technical. The ~~appln~~ order is one on the miscellaneous side and the rules of procedure are not strictly applicable but the order is one

30.11.19